

on said plat.

It further appears to this Court that the remote grantees of J. M. Fortner in and to the property in Fortner Park and the members of the general/<sup>public</sup> who could claim any right, title or interest in and to said 30-foot street are very numerous, and that it would be impracticable to bring them all before this Court. Pursuant to South Carolina Code of Laws of 1962, Section 10-205, the Defendants, Dorothy Fortner Garrett, John M. Pitts, Ruby R. Pitts and Albert L. Echols, all of whom are the remote grantees of J. M. Fortner, and the owners of various portions of Fortner Park as set forth in the Complaint, have been joined as Defendants in this action individually and as representative members of a class for the benefit of all persons who as grantees of J. M. Fortner of the property in Fortner Park may claim some interest in and to said street.

94P  
#3  
It further appears to this Court that this action has been brought pursuant to South Carolina Code of Laws of 1962, Section 33-521, et. seq., to have this Court close said street and to exclude the rights of all abutting property owners in and to the same. Accordingly, J. D. Woodall as the Owner of Lot No. 8, 11a Court, shown on plat recorded in Plat Book BB, page 101, and Dorothy F. Garrett as the owner of Lot No. 11, Block 1, Harvard Street, as shown on a plat recorded in Plat Book G, page 129 (both of which properties form the rear boundary of Lot No. 8, Oak Drive, owned by the Plaintiff, John W. Fortner, and said Plaintiff owning all properties on each side of said 30-foot street) have been joined in this action as the owners of all property abutting said street whose property could be affected by its closing.

It further appears to this Court that all of the Defendants to this action have been joined herein as representatives or members of a class for the benefit of all persons constituting the general public who may claim some right, title or interest in and to said

(Continued on next page)