



GREENVILLE COUNTY COUNCIL

Minutes
Regular Meeting
September 19, 2023
6:00 p.m.

County Square - Council Chambers

Council Members

Mr. Dan Tripp, *Chairman, District 28*
Mrs. Liz Seman, *Vice-Chairwoman, District 24*
Mr. Butch Kirven, *Chairman Pro Tem, District 27*
Mr. Joey Russo, *District 17*
Mr. Mike Barnes, *District 18*
Mr. Benton Blount, *District 19*
Mr. Stephen Shaw, *District 20*
Mr. Chris Harrison, *District 21*
Mr. Stan Tzouvelekas, *District 22*
Mr. Alan Mitchell, *District 23*
Mr. Ennis Fant, Sr., *District 25*
Mr. Rick Bradley, *District 26*

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, notice of the meeting date, time, place and agenda was posted online and on the bulletin board at County Square and made available to the newspapers, radio stations, television stations and concerned citizens.

Council Members Absent

None

Staff Present

Joe Kernell, County Administrator
Mark Tollison, County Attorney
Regina McCaskill, Clerk to Council
Jessica Stone, Deputy Clerk to Council
Pam Gilliam, Administrative Assistant
Terrance Galloway, Information Systems

Phillip Simmons, Information Systems
Bob Mihalic, Governmental Relations Officer
Nicole Wood, Assistant County Administrator
Tee Coker, Assistant County Administrator
Ronald Hollister, Assistant County Administrator
Rashida Jeffers-Campbell, Planning Director

Others Present

Conway Belangia, Voter Registration
Steve Cole, Greater Greenville Sanitation
Roy Earnest, Board of Directors - Greater Greenville Sanitation

Call to Order

Chairman Dan Tripp

Invocation

Councilor Ennis Fant

Pledge of Allegiance

Item (4) Approval of Minutes

a. September 5, 2023 – Regular County Council Meeting

Action: Vice-Chairwoman Seman moved approval of the minutes from the September 5, 2023, Regular County Council Meeting.

Motion carried unanimously.

Item (5) Proclamations and Special Recognition

a. Proclamation Recognizing Constitution Week

Chairman Tripp presented a proclamation marking September 17, 2023 as the 236th anniversary of the drafting of the Constitution of the United States of America by the Constitutional Convention. Public Law 915 guaranteed the issuance of a proclamation each year by the President of the United States of America, designating September 17–23 as Constitution Week.

Greenville County Council honored and recognized great American heritage and encouraged all citizens to reaffirm the ideals the Framers of the Constitution had in 1787 by protecting those freedoms that were guaranteed through the document; and proclaimed September 17-23, 2023 as Constitution Week in Greenville County.

Item (6) Appearances – Current Agenda Items

- **Ed Paxton** – appeared regarding Item 8.e. Community Project Application / District 25 – 60 Year Observance (*Councilor Steve Shaw requested Mr. Paxton's comments be recorded verbatim*)

Mr. Paxton stated, "I am Ed Paxton and I live at 1 York Circle that's in District 25, where I have lived for 60 years. The Donaldson Center was still an active airbase when I moved here in '63. And. That was prior to Greenville County coming under the Home Rule structure. Today's Consent Agenda Item E. for Vision 25 leaves a lot of unanswered questions; therefore, I say it ought to be voted down. I have questions and statements.

1. What are we celebrating? This appears to be a political rally instead of a true celebration, as Greenville's Home Rule system has only been in existence for less than 50 years.
2. Per page 1 of the application, this money is supposed to be used for infrastructure. What specific infrastructure work is being done? What community center is the work being done for, Upstate Circle of Friends, which hosts some of the Vision 25 events? This alleged community center is not open to the public, as required by the application.
3. Page 2 of the application violates the requirements for the non-recurring community infrastructure requests. Instead, it lists the descriptions and benefits as events.
4. A review of the application link to today's agenda is not the same as the one that was voted in the Finance Committee. The application was amended and not properly noted. This breaks the rules and the laws of Council.

5. As per the S.C. Ethics law, where is Mr. Fant's disclosure of Conflict of Interest form? Why have they not been made public?
6. As per the S.C. Ethics law, why was Mr. Fant allowed to be in last week's Finance Committee during the discussion?
7. Why was he not required as per S.C. Ethic law to recuse himself?"

Chairman Tripp informed Mr. Paxton he was getting close to being derogatory towards a Council member. He asked him to keep his comments on the application and not individuals.

Mr. Paxton stated, "This \$30,000 needs to be used for communities not on some expensive gala at a high-end hotel, not in the district.

Because of the violations of the S.C. Ethics law and the violations of the goodwill of the citizenry of this county, this application absolutely must be voted no. I'll be glad to answer questions, or explain anything I have said; but, I want to receive those questions in writing. Thank you for your time."

- **Ma'ta Crawford** – appeared regarding Item 8.e. Community Project Application / District 25 – 60 Year Observance
- **David Davis** – appeared regarding Item 8.e. Community Project Application / District 25 – 60 Year Observance (*Councilor Steve Shaw requested Mr. Davis' comments be recorded verbatim*)

Mr. Davis stated, "David Davis, 112 Riverview Drive, Greenville, 29611. My question is why is my Councilman, Mr. Alan Mitchell, using discretionary funds for events celebrating something outside of our district? Are there no items in District 23 that need more attention than a gala? According to the Finance Committee agenda last week, Mr. Mitchell was not part of the donation; but, now this week he is. What changed? Why was the application allowed to be changed to add Mr. Mitchell's name? Why was the application not marked as amended and re-dated after the change was made? Another question I have is, the application says the money was needed September 15. It's now the 19th. How is this working? We need an explanation as to why the dates don't match the final vote. According to Vision 25, this is a gala in a fancy hotel, not in District 25. But, I'm not sure what we are supposed to celebrate; 60 years of what? The County Council district system isn't that old. The Vision 25 website shows that there is a charge of \$100 - \$125 a ticket to attend and they are looking for sponsors. Why should the taxpayers be contributing to something that is a private event that charges for attendance and sponsorship? How many people in District 23, in Greenville County for that matter, can afford a \$100 meal. Who is the gala being held for? Recently, we were told we didn't have enough money in this County to meet basic needs. Therefore, you were forced to raise our taxes, but, now you have an extra \$30,000 to spend on a gala for the elite. Where is the hypocrisy and lies stop? Then, we have the S.C. Ethics laws violations that occurred last week in the Finance Committee. That alone is enough to make last week's vote no..."

Chairman Tripp informed Mr. Davis he would not allow accusations of an ethics violation. There had been no rulings by the Ethics Commission in regards to the previous week's Finance Committee meeting. He asked Mr. Davis to keep his remarks confined to facts and not personalities.

Mr. Davis stated, "It's time for the law-breaking to stop. It's time to be honest and ethical, working for the people."

- **Princeton Williams** – appeared regarding Item 8.e. Community Project Application / District 25 – 60 Year Observance
- **Rosemarie McConnell** – appeared regarding Item 11.a. Land Development Regulations Amendment / Septic Subdivision Requirements and Riparian Buffers
- **Carolina Mahaffey** – appeared regarding Item 11.a. Land Development Regulations Amendment / Septic Subdivision Requirements and Riparian Buffers
- **Robert Hammond** – appeared regarding Item 11.a. Land Development Regulations Amendment / Septic Subdivision Requirements and Riparian Buffers
- **Milton Shockley** – appeared regarding Item 11.a. Land Development Regulations Amendment / Septic Subdivision Requirements and Riparian Buffers (Mr. Shockley opted to forego his remarks)

Item (7)

Public Hearings

a. Workforce Affordable Housing Policy (WAHP) / Village of West Greenville LLC (formerly Project Woven) – Special Source Credit Agreement

A public hearing was held for the purpose of receiving comments from the public regarding an ordinance authorizing the execution and delivery of a special source credit agreement by and between Greenville County, South Carolina, and Village of West Greenville LLC, with respect to certain investment in qualifying infrastructure related to the construction of a mixed-use development in the County, whereby such project would be subject to payments in lieu of taxes by virtue of its location in a joint county industrial and business park, and to application of certain special source credits; and other matters related thereto.

- **Brian Schick** – appeared in favor of the proposed
- **Anne King** – appeared in opposition to the proposed
- **Margaret McGinty** – appeared in opposition to the proposed

b. Anderson / Greenville Multi County Industrial Business Park Agreement Amendment – Workforce Affordable Housing Policy (WAHP) Project Woven

A public hearing was held for the purpose of receiving comments from the public regarding an ordinance to amend an agreement for the development of a joint county industrial and business park of Anderson and Greenville Counties so as to enlarge the park.

There being no speakers, Chairman Pro Tem Kirven declared the public hearing closed.

c. Lake Cunningham Fire District / Millage Request

A public hearing was held for the purpose of receiving comments from the public regarding a resolution to provide for the continuation of the current Ad Valorem property tax to be levied by the Lake Cunningham Fire District.

There being no speakers, Chairman Pro Tem Kirven declared the public hearing closed.

d. Dunklin Fire District / Millage Request

A public hearing was held for the purpose of receiving comments from the public regarding a resolution to provide for the continuation of the current Ad Valorem property tax to be levied by the Dunklin Fire District.

There being no speakers, Chairman Pro Tem Kirven declared the public hearing closed.

e. South Greenville Fire District / Millage Request

A public hearing was held for the purpose of receiving comments from the public regarding a resolution to provide for the continuation of the current Ad Valorem property tax to be levied by the South Greenville Fire District.

There being no speakers, Chairman Pro Tem Kirven declared the public hearing closed.

Item (8)

Consent Agenda

- a. SC Opioid Recovery Fund Assistance Agreement - Sheriff's Office**
- b. CDC Foundation Grant – Coroner's Office**
- c. PARD 2024 Grant – Lincoln Park Playground Replacement**
- d. Community Project Application / Belmont Fire Department 2023/2024 Community Risk Reduction Campaign \$4,500.00**
- e. Community Project Application / District 25 – 60 Year Observance \$30,000.00**
- f. Community Project Application / Greenville County Public Works – Artillery Road Traffic Calming \$3,3168.34**
- g. Community Project Application / Wayfinding Signs for Swamp Rabbit Trail \$3,000.00**

Councilor Tzouvelekas requested Item 8.e. Community Project Application / District 25 – 60 Year Observance (\$30,000.00) be removed from the Consent Agenda.

Councilor Fant requested Item 8.f. Community Project Application / Greenville County Public Works – Artillery Road Traffic Calming (\$3,3168.34) and Item 8.g. Community Project Application / Wayfinding Signs for Swamp Rabbit Trail (\$3,000.00) be removed from the Consent Agenda.

Action: Vice-Chairwoman Seman moved to approve Items 8.a. through 8.d. of the Consent Agenda.

Motion carried unanimously.

Items removed from Consent Agenda:

- Chairman Tripp stated Item 8.e. Community Project Application / District 25 – 60 Year Observance (\$30,000.00) was on the floor for discussion. He stated the process for Community Project Applications involved submitting the application to the Administrator for review; Council had the responsibility to approve or deny Mr. Kernell's decision.

Councilor Tzouvelekas requested a roll call vote.

Point of Information

Councilor Shaw requested clarification regarding the item in question.

Chairman Tripp stated the item in question was whether or not to approve Item 8.e. Community Project Application / District 25 – 60 Year Observance (\$30,000.00).

Point of Information

Councilor Shaw asked if Mr. Kernell made independent decisions regarding the items that were removed from the Consent Agenda.

Mr. Tollison stated a Council vote was required for all Community Project applications.

Councilor Shaw requested clarification regarding the process to approve Community Project applications.

Chairman Tripp stated that, initially, all Community Project applications were submitted to Mr. Kernell for approval. If approved, the applications were then forwarded to the Finance Committee.

Chairwoman Seman stated Mr. Kernell was tasked with ensuring the request met the criteria for Community Project applications.

Point of Information

Councilor Shaw asked if Mr. Tollison reviewed the applications or if they were only reviewed by Mr. Kernell.

Mr. Tollison stated he did not review the Community Project applications. Council's policy stated applications were reviewed by Mr. Kernell, the Finance Committee and County Council; he did not have a role in the process.

Councilor Shaw stated he wanted to clarify that Mr. Tollison was not part of the decision-making process and had no input into the legality of the request.

Mr. Tollison stated Council's policy in regards to Community Project applications did not prescribe a role for the County Attorney.

Councilor Shaw asked what protected County Council Members from participating in something that was not legal.

Mr. Tollison stated Council Members had to rely on their own judgement, along with the Administrator's recommendation, when determining their how they would vote on a Community Project application.

Action:

Vice-Chairwoman Seman called for the question.

Motion to call for the question carried.

Action:

Item 8.e. Community Project Application / District 25 – 60 Year Observance in the amount of \$30,000.00 was approved by a roll call vote of eight (Russo, Barnes, Harrison, Mitchell, Seman, Bradley, Kirven and Tripp) in favor, three (Blount, Shaw and Tzouvelekas) in opposition and one (Fant) abstention.

- Chairman Tripp stated Item 8.f. Community Project Application / Greenville County Public Works – Artillery Road Traffic Calming (\$3,3168.34) was on the floor for discussion.

Councilor Shaw stated there was heavy traffic on Artillery Road and the residents had requested the traffic calming equipment out of safety concerns.

Councilor Fant requested a roll call vote.

Action: Item 8.f. Community Project Application / Greenville County Public Works – Artillery Road Traffic Calming in the amount of \$3,3168.34 was approved by a roll call vote of eleven (Russo, Barnes, Blount, Harrison, Tzouvelekas, Mitchell, Seman, Bradley, Kirven and Tripp) in favor and one (Fant) in opposition.

- Chairman Tripp stated Item 8.g. Community Project Application / Wayfinding Signs for Swamp Rabbit Trail (\$3,000.00) was on the floor for discussion.

Councilor Fant requested a roll call vote.

Action: Item 8.g. Community Project Application / Wayfinding Signs for Swamp Rabbit Trail in the amount of \$3,000.00 was approved by a roll call vote of eleven (Russo, Barnes, Blount, Harrison, Tzouvelekas, Mitchell, Seman, Bradley, Kirven and Tripp) in favor and one (Fant) in opposition.

Item (9) **Resolutions**

- a. **Lake Cunningham Fire District / Dunklin Fire District / South Greenville Fire District – Millage Request**

Action: Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved for adoption a resolution to provide for the continuation of current Ad Valorem Property Tax to be levied by the Lake Cunningham Fire District, the South Greenville Fire District and the Dunklin Fire District.

Motion carried unanimously.

Item (10) **Ordinances – Third Reading**

- a. **Zoning Ordinances**

- i. **CZ-2023-048:** Property of Rita Elaine Holt and William Preston Holt, located at 209 Orders Street, Greenville, requesting rezoning from O-D to R-7.5.

Action: Councilor Fant moved adoption of the ordinance at third reading.

Motion carried unanimously.

- ii. **CZ-2023-049:** Property of John H. Bragg, located at 2826 Woodruff Road, Simpsonville, requesting rezoning from R-S to C-2.

Action: Councilor Fant moved adoption of the ordinance at third reading.

Motion carried unanimously.

- iii. **CZ-2023-050:** Property of Log Cabin Enterprises, Inc., located at 104 West Road, Greer, requesting rezoning from R-12 to C-3.

Action: Councilor Fant moved adoption of the ordinance at third reading.

Motion carried unanimously.

b. Foothills Fire Service Area / Millage Request

Action: Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved for adoption at third reading an ordinance to provide for the millage rate to be levied by the Foothills Fire Service Area.

Motion carried unanimously by Council Members present.

c. Workforce Affordable Housing Policy (WAHP) / Village of West Greenville, LLC (formerly Project Woven) – Special Source Credit Agreement

Action: Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved for adoption at third reading an ordinance authorizing the execution and delivery of a special source credit agreement by and between Greenville County, South Carolina, and Village of West Greenville, LLC, with respect to certain investment in qualifying infrastructure related to the construction of a mixed-use development in the County, whereby such project would be subject to payments in lieu of taxes by virtue of its location in a joint county industrial and business park, and to application of certain special source credits; and other matters related thereto.

Councilor Mitchell stated the County had a policy in place to promote affordable housing that was passed prior to his election to Council. He stated he was supportive of the policy; however, he was under the impression that the intent of the policy was to encourage developers to provide more affordable housing and not just the “bare minimum” as required by the policy. It was apparent the developer was meeting the minimum requirements. Mr. Mitchell stated he liked to look at the “spirit” and the “letter” of a project. It was important to determine what could be done above and beyond the policy. The residents potentially affected by the development were hurting, their cries were falling on deaf ears. Mr. Mitchell stated he would be voting in opposition to the proposed.

Councilor Harrison stated the project in question was located in the city limits and had already been approved by the City of Greenville. The proposed would not solve the affordable housing problem; however, he was confident it would help the situation. Council was tasked with incentivizing the developer to include affordable units in the development. Councilor Harrison stated he planned to vote in favor of the proposed.

Councilor Fant stated the County’s Affordable Housing Policy was not perfect; however, it was a step in the right direction and he hoped to improve it at some point. The item in question would not “fix” the affordable housing problem in Greenville County, but, it would not “hurt” it. It was important to remember that the City of Greenville had already approved the project; it was not Greenville County’s project to approve. It was Council’s responsibility to determine if the project met the criteria outlined in the County’s Affordable Housing Policy. Mr. Fant stated the project met the criteria; it would be hypocritical to state the it met the policy’s guidelines but not be in favor of it. He stated he was open to changing or amending the policy, if needed. He was unsure how Council could pick and choose which projects to approve, if a project “checked all the boxes” as indicated in the Affordable Housing Policy. Mr. Fant stated he planned to support the item in question.

Councilor Tzouvelekas asked if all the Community Project applications on the Consent Agenda had been approved and met the criteria.

Chairman Tripp confirmed the applications had been approved and met the required criteria.

Vice-Chairwoman Seman stated she liked the retail component of the project, in terms of keeping aside rent for small businesses. It was a very unique aspect of the project and she would like for Council to look at that component for future multi-use projects.

Councilor Shaw stated it was his understanding that every project with an affordable housing component would be scrutinized individually by Council. He asked Mr. Tollison if Greenville County was allowed to enter into agreements or contracts with non-domesticated, foreign corporations. Mr. Shaw stated a corporation had to be domesticated to do business in the State.

Mr. Tollison stated it was common practice for the County to be allowed to enter into agreements or contracts with non-domesticated, foreign corporations. There was an expectation that all projects presented for approval met GADC's Economic Development Model.

Councilor Shaw asked if a New York corporation could conduct business in South Carolina without registering.

Mr. Tollison stated there were a number of out of state corporations conducting business in the area.

Councilor Shaw asked Mr. Tollison if he could provide a legal statute to verify the legality of the issue.

Mr. Tollison stated he would research the issue and report back his findings.

Councilor Shaw asked if Council should vote on the item in question without having that information.

Mr. Tollison stated the decision to vote on the item or not to vote on the item was up to Council.

Motion as presented carried by a roll call vote of seven (Russo, Barnes, Harrison, Seman, Fant, Kirven and Tripp) in favor and five (Blount, Shaw, Tzouvelekas, Mitchell and Bradley) in opposition.

d. Anderson / Greenville Multi County Industrial Business Park Agreement Amendment – Workforce Affordable Housing Policy (WAHP) Village of West Greenville, LLC (formerly Project Woven)

Action:

Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved for adoption at third reading an ordinance to amend an agreement for the development of a joint county industrial and business park of Anderson and Greenville Counties so as to enlarge the park.

Motion carried unanimously.

e. Project Power / Fee in Lieu of Tax Agreement

Action: Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved for adoption at third reading an ordinance authorizing the execution and delivery of a fee in lieu of tax agreement by and between Greenville County, South Carolina and Project Power with respect to certain economic development property in the County, whereby such property would be subject to certain payments in lieu of taxes, including the provision of certain special source credits; and other matters related thereto.

Action: Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved to refer the item back to the Finance Committee.
Motion carried unanimously.

f. Anderson / Greenville Multi County Industrial Business Park (2010 Park) Agreement Amendment – Project Power

Action: Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved for adoption at third reading an ordinance to amend an agreement for the development of a joint county industrial and business park (2010 Park) of Anderson and Greenville Counties so as to enlarge the park.

Action: Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved to refer the item back to the Finance Committee.
Motion carried unanimously.

Item (11) Ordinances – Second Reading

a. Land Development Regulations Amendment / Septic Subdivision Requirement and Riparian Buffers

Action Councilor Fant moved for approval at second reading an ordinance to amend the Greenville County Land Development Regulations to update design standards for septic development in the unzoned areas of the County and to establish countywide riparian buffer requirements to protect water quality to implement features of the Plan Greenville County 2020 Comprehensive Plan.

Action Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved to hold the item until October 17, 2023, and to hold a workshop prior to that date.
Motion to hold carried unanimously.

b. Anderson / Greenville Multi County Industrial Business Park (2010 Park) Agreement Amendment – Project Shoreline

Action: Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved for approval at second reading an ordinance to amend an agreement for the development of a joint county industrial and business park (2010 Park) of Anderson and Greenville Counties so as to enlarge the park to include certain property of Lake Hartwell Development Group LLC, D/B/A The Shores of Asbury.

Motion carried unanimously.

c. Project Carolina / Fee in Lieu of Tax Agreement

Action: Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved for approval at second reading an ordinance authorizing the execution and delivery of a fee in lieu of tax agreement by and between Greenville County, South Carolina and a company(ies) identified by the County at this time as Project Carolina with respect to certain economic development property in the County, whereby such property would be subject to certain payments in lieu of taxes; and other matters related thereto.

Motion carried unanimously.

d. National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying (NCEES) / Fee Agreement Termination

Action: Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved for approval at second reading an ordinance authorizing the termination of a fee in lieu of tax agreement by and between Greenville County, South Carolina and National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying.

Action: Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved to amend the ordinance to reflect the changes outlined in the red-lined version that was included in the agenda packet.

Motion to amend carried unanimously.

Action: Chairman Pro Tem Kirven moved approval of the ordinance as amended.

Motion as amended carried unanimously.

Item (12) Ordinances – First Reading

a. Zoning Ordinances

Councilor Fant presented for first reading Zoning Dockets **CZ-2023-060** and **CZ-2023-62 through CZ-2023-64**.

Chairman Tripp referred the items to the Planning and Development Committee.

b. Anderson / Greenville Multi County Industrial Business Park (2010 Park) Agreement Amendment – Project Little Brother

Chairman Pro Tem presented for first reading an ordinance to amend an agreement for the deployment of a joint county industrial and business park (2010 Park) of Anderson and Greenville Counties so as to enlarge the park.

Chairman Tripp stated the item would remain on the floor.

Item (13) Committee Reports

There were no reports.

Item (14)

Administrator's Report

Mr. Kernell invited everyone to attend a free adoption event on Wednesday, September 20, from 11:30 am – 1:30 pm. The event, sponsored by Greenville County Animal Care, would include a Chick-fil-A food truck in the breezeway of the new Administration Building. The event was part of Animal Care's "Fall in Love" with a shelter pet party; staff would be on hand with great animals that could be adopted for free.

Item (15)

Requests and Motions

a. Prohibit Using Public Funds for Lobbyists or Public Relations

Councilor Tzouvelekas stated it appeared that Greenville County hired lobbyists such as the Public Strategists, LLC., a lobbyist for Conestee Foundation, ReWa, Upstate Greenways and Trails Alliance, Erwin Creates, Gateway House Inc., Greenville Water Systems, South Carolina Association of Public Works...

Chairman Tripp stated the issue was not to be debated at that time. He asked Mr. Tzouvelekas to state his intended motion.

Councilor Tzouvelekas continued to read from a scripted narrative.

Chairman Tripp again requested Councilor Tzouvelekas to state his motion. After further attempts to have Mr. Tzouvelekas refrain from reading his narrative, Chairman Tripp ruled him out of order.

Councilor Tzouvelekas requested the item to be referred to the appropriate committee.

Chairman Tripp referred the item to the Finance Committee.

b. Prohibit Mask Requirements

Chairman Tripp reminded Mr. Tzouvelekas the item was not debatable at that time. Once it was sent to a committee, it would then be open for discussion and debate. Mr. Tripp asked Mr. Tzouvelekas to state his motion and then the item would be referred to the appropriate committee.

Councilor Tzouvelekas stated all across America, mask mandates were coming back and schools were shutting down...

Chairman Tripp ruled Councilor Tzouvelekas out of order.

Councilor Tzouvelekas continued to read from a scripted narrative.

Item (16)

Adjournment

Action:

Vice-Chairman Seman moved to adjourn the meeting.

Motion carried and the meeting adjourned at 7:09 p.m.

Respectfully submitted:

Regina G. McCaskill
Clerk to Council